

UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tradescark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington,	D.C.	20231	
-------------	------	-------	--

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAME	D INVENTOR	A	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
0 9 /285,773	04/05/99	MERCALDI		G	M4065.165/P1
		*************	7	EXAMINER	
THOMAS J D'	AMICO	IM62/0501		UMEZ EF	RONINI.L
		N & OSHINSKY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2101 L STRE	ET NW		•		
WASHINGTON DC 20037-1526	26		1765	-	
				DATE MAILED:	•
					05/01/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

١.,

Application No. 09/285,773 Applicant

Mercaldi et al.

Lynette T. Umez-Eronini

Group Art Unit 1765



☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
☐ This action is FINAL .		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,		
	eet to expire3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever fure to respond within the period for response will cause the ensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of	
Disposition of Claims		
	is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
Claim(s)		
Claim(s)is/are rejected.		
Claim(s)		
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	wing Review, PTO-948.	
The drawing(s) filed on is/are of	pjected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is bpproved disapproved.	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	er.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copie	es of the priority documents have been	
☐ received.		
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial		
received in this national stage application from	the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
*Certified copies not received:		
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic present in the companies of a claim for domestic present in the companies of a claim for domestic present in the companies of a claim for domestic present in the companies of a claim for domestic present in the companies of a claim for domestic present in the companies of a claim for domestic present in the companies of a claim for domestic present in the companies of a claim for domestic present in the companies of a claim for domestic present in the companies of a claim for domestic present in the companies of a claim for domestic present in the companies of a claim for domestic present in the companies of a claim for domestic present in the companies of a claim for domestic present in the companies of a claim for the companies of the compani	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s)		
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pape	er No(s)	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	2.040	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PT(J-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
	ON THE FOLLOWING BACES	
SEE UFFICE ACTION (ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 09/285773

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-41, drawn to a product, classified in class 252, subclass 79.1.

II. Claims 42-81, drawn to a method, classified in class 438, subclass 745.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can

be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for

using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or

(2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that

product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in

a materially different process of using that product, such as etching an undoped

substance.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have

acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction

for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search

required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as

indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/285773

Art Unit: 1765

A telephone call was made to Thomas J. D'Amico on April 27, 2000 to request an 5.

oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being

made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include

an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected 6.

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or

more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a

petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 7.

examiner should be directed to Lynette T. Umez-Eronini whose telephone number is (703)

306-9074.

Itue

April 27, 2000

BENJAMIN L. UTECH TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700 Page 3